

NDA-FP-50  
October 1998

# Conformal Anomaly for Dilaton Coupled Theories from AdS/CFT Correspondence

SHIN'ICHI NOJIRI<sup>1</sup> and SERGEI D. ODINTSOV<sup>♠2</sup>

*Department of Mathematics and Physics  
National Defence Academy, Hashirimizu Yokosuka 239, JAPAN*

*♠ Tomsk Pedagogical University, 634041 Tomsk, RUSSIA*

## abstract

Trace anomaly for dilaton coupled conformal theories on curved background with non-zero dilaton is found from supergravity side as an IR effect using AdS/CFT correspondence. For  $d = 2$  it coincides with the conformal anomaly for dilaton coupled scalar (up to total derivative term which is known to be ambiguous). In four-dimensional case we get conformal anomaly for  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super YM theory interacting with conformal supergravity. In the same way the calculation of dilaton dependent conformal anomaly in higher dimensions seems to be much easier than using standard QFT methods.

PACS: 04.60.Kz, 04.62.+v, 04.70.Dy, 11.25.Hf

---

<sup>1</sup>e-mail: nojiri@cc.nda.ac.jp

<sup>2</sup>e-mail: odintsov@tspi.tomsk.su

AdS/CFT correspondence [1, 2, 3] attracted a lot of attention recently. This correspondence between string theory on specific background and conformal field theory is simplified for large  $N$  (where  $N$  is the number of coincident branes) and small string coupling. In this case, the left hand side of conjecture relation, i.e. string partition function in supergravity approximation may be reduced to exponential of the supergravity action functional which is calculated on the background under consideration (bulk) with the account of classical equations of motion and some boundary conditions. The right hand side is given by generating functional of correlation functions in the conformal field theory on the boundary. This partition function may be also considered as the one corresponding to the coupling of conformal matter with conformal supergravity [7].

Recently conformal anomaly (for a general review and list of refs., see [9]) for conformal field theories in  $d = 2, 4, 6$  has been derived from the supergravity side using above AdS/CFT correspondence in ref.[5]. Such calculation explicitly demonstrates that conformal anomaly which usually has an UV origin as it is almost associated with the corresponding divergent effective action (see [10] for a review) may arise as an IR divergence in bulk theory (so-called IR-UV connection in holographic theories [12]).

From another side recently conformal anomaly has been calculated by usual QFT methods for dilaton coupled conformal matter on curved background with non-trivial dilaton. For 2d dilaton coupled scalar with arbitrary dilatonic coupling it has been first calculated in ref.[13] (see also [6] and for special choice of dilatonic coupling, see[14]). For 4d dilaton coupled scalars and vectors the conformal anomaly has been found in refs.[6, 15, 16].

The main purpose of our work is to find holographic conformal anomaly for dilaton coupled conformal field theory from supergravity side (using AdS/CFT correspondence). We use the same methods as in ref.[5] where such result has been obtained in the absence of background dilaton. The final expression for conformal anomaly is given for  $d = 2$  and  $d = 4$ . It is shown that for  $d = 2$  it coincides with the conformal anomaly for 2d dilaton coupled scalar [13, 6] and it is actually unique (up to total derivatives terms [6, 14]). For  $d = 4$  we get just conformal anomaly of  $\mathcal{N} = 4$   $U(N)$  or  $SU(N)$  super YM theory coupled with  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  conformal supergravity.

We start with the action of  $d + 1$ -dimensional dilatonic gravity

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[ \int_{M_{d+1}} d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-\hat{G}} \left\{ \hat{R} + X(\phi)(\hat{\nabla}\phi)^2 + Y(\phi)\hat{\Delta}\phi + 4\lambda^2 \right\} + \int_{M_d} d^d x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left( 2\hat{\nabla}_\mu n^\mu + \alpha \right) \right] . \quad (1)$$

Here  $M_{d+1}$  is the  $d + 1$  dimensional manifold whose boundary is the  $d$  dimensional manifold  $M_d$  and  $n_\mu$  is the unit normal vector to  $M_d$ . In Eq.(1)  $X(\phi)$  and  $Y(\phi)$  are arbitrary functions depending on dilaton  $\phi$ . Note that the arbitrariness of  $X(\phi)$  and  $Y(\phi)$  is not real but apparent one. In fact, if we define new dilaton field  $\varphi$  by

$$\varphi \equiv \int d\phi \sqrt{2V(\phi)} , \quad V(\phi) \equiv X(\phi) - Y'(\phi) , \quad (2)$$

the action (1) can be rewritten by using partial integration as follows:

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left[ \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-\hat{G}} \left\{ \hat{R} + 2(\hat{\nabla}\varphi)^2 + 4\lambda^2 \right\} + \int_{M_d} d^d x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left( 2\hat{\nabla}_\mu n^\mu + \alpha + Y(\phi)n^\mu \partial_\mu \phi \right) \right] . \quad (3)$$

The  $\phi$  dependent term on  $M_d$  does not finally contribute to Weyl anomaly. We keep, however,  $X(\phi)$  and  $Y(\phi)$  as arbitrary functions for the later convenience. Note also that boundary term may be used to present the action as the functional of fields and their first derivatives [4].

As in [5], we choose the metric  $\hat{G}_{\mu\nu}$  on  $M_{d+1}$  and the metric  $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$  on  $M_d$  in the following form (see also [8]):

$$ds^2 \equiv \hat{G}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \frac{l^2}{4} \rho^{-2} d\rho d\rho + \sum_{i=1}^d \hat{g}_{ij} dx^i dx^j$$

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = \rho^{-1} g_{ij} . \quad (4)$$

Here  $l$  is related with  $\lambda^2$  by  $4\lambda^2 = -d(d-1)/l^2$ . Note that the expression of the metric (4) has a redundancy. In fact, the expression (4) is invariant if we change  $\rho$  and  $g_{ij}$  by

$$\delta\rho = \delta\sigma\rho , \quad \delta g_{ij} = \delta\sigma g_{ij} . \quad (5)$$

Here  $\delta\sigma$  is a constant parameter of the transformation. The transformation (5) can be regarded as the scale transformation on  $M_d$ .

Using the expression (4), we find that the unit vector  $n_\mu$  normal to  $M_d$  can be given by  $(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{2\rho}{l})$  and we find

$$\hat{\nabla}_\mu n^\mu = -\frac{d}{l} + \frac{\rho}{l} g^{ij} g'_{ij} . \quad (6)$$

Here “ ’ ” expresses the derivative with respect to  $\rho$ . We also find that the scalar curvature  $\hat{R}$  has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R} = & \frac{d^2 + d}{l^2} + \rho R \\ & - \frac{2(d-1)\rho}{l^2} g^{ij} g'_{ij} - \frac{3\rho^2}{l^2} g^{ij} g^{kl} g'_{ik} g'_{jl} + \frac{4\rho^2}{l^2} g^{ij} g''_{ij} + \frac{\rho^2}{l^2} g^{ij} g^{kl} g'_{ij} g'_{kl} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here  $R$  is the scalar curvature on  $M_d$ .

When  $d$  is even, we can expand  $\phi$  and  $\rho$  as power series of  $\rho$  as in [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \phi_{(0)} + \rho\phi_{(1)} + \rho^2\phi_{(2)} + \dots + \rho^{\frac{d}{2}}\phi_{(d/2)} - \rho^{\frac{d}{2}} \ln \rho \psi + \mathcal{O}(\rho^{\frac{d}{2}+1}) \\ g_{ij} &= g_{(0)ij} + \rho g_{(1)ij} + \rho^2 g_{(2)ij} + \dots + \rho^{\frac{d}{2}} g_{(d/2)ij} - \rho^{\frac{d}{2}} \ln \rho h_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^{\frac{d}{2}+1}) . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Here we regard  $\phi_{(0)}$  and  $g_{(0)ij}$  as independent fields on  $M_d$  and  $\phi_{(l)}$ ,  $g_{(l)ij}$  ( $l = 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $\psi$  and  $h_{ij}$  as fields depending on  $\phi_{(0)}$  and  $g_{(0)ij}$  by using equations of motion. Then the action (1) diverges in general since the action contains the infinite volume integration on  $M_{d+1}$ . The action is regularized by introducing the infrared cutoff  $\epsilon$  and replacing

$$\int d^{d+1}x \rightarrow \int d^d x \int_\epsilon d\rho , \quad \int_{M_d} d^d x (\dots) \rightarrow \int d^d x (\dots) \Big|_{\rho=\epsilon} . \quad (9)$$

As discussed in [5], the terms proportional to the (inverse) power of  $\epsilon$  in the regularized action are invariant under the scale transformation

$$\delta g_{(0)\mu\nu} = 2\delta\sigma g_{(0)\mu\nu} , \quad \delta\epsilon = 2\delta\sigma\epsilon , \quad (10)$$

which corresponds to (5). Then the subtraction of these terms proportional to the inverse power of  $\epsilon$  does not break the invariance under the scale transformation. When  $d$  is even, however, the term proportional to  $\ln \epsilon$  appears.

The term is not invariant under the scale transformation (10) and the subtraction of the  $\ln \epsilon$  term breaks the invariance. The variation of the  $\ln \epsilon$  term under the scale transformation (10) is finite when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and should be canceled by the variation of the finite term (which does not depend on  $\epsilon$ ) in the action since the original action (1) is invariant under the scale transformation. Therefore the  $\ln \epsilon$  term  $S_{\ln}$  gives the Weyl anomaly  $T$  of the action renormalized by the subtraction of the terms which diverge when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  by [5]

$$S_{\ln} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_{(0)}} T . \quad (11)$$

First we consider the case of  $d = 2$ . Choosing  $\alpha$  to satisfy the equation  $\alpha = \frac{2}{l}$  and using the replacement in (9), we find the action (1) has the following form

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \frac{l}{2} \ln \epsilon \int d^2x \sqrt{-g_{(0)}} \left\{ R_{(0)} + X(\phi_{(0)}) (\nabla \phi_{(0)})^2 + Y(\phi_{(0)}) \Delta \phi_{(0)} \right\} + \text{finite terms} . \quad (12)$$

Then we find an expression of the Weyl anomaly  $T$  by using (11)

$$T = \frac{l}{16\pi G} \left\{ R_{(0)} + X(\phi_{(0)}) (\nabla \phi_{(0)})^2 + Y(\phi_{(0)}) \Delta \phi_{(0)} \right\} . \quad (13)$$

The trace anomaly for  $N$  dilaton coupled matter scalar fields whose action is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} f(\phi) g^{\mu\nu} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_\mu \chi_i \partial_\nu \chi_i \quad (14)$$

has been calculated in Ref.[13, 6]. The result corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{l}{16\pi G} &= \frac{N}{24\pi} , \quad \frac{l}{16\pi G} X(\phi_{(0)}) = -\frac{N}{4\pi} \left( \frac{f''}{2f} - \frac{f'^2}{4f^2} \right) , \\ \frac{l}{16\pi G} Y(\phi_{(0)}) &= -\frac{N}{4\pi} \frac{f'}{2f} . \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The above result should give the conformal anomaly computed from the asymptotic symmetry algebra of  $\text{AdS}_3$  with dilaton, as it was the case in the

absence of dilaton [11]. Substituting (15) into (1) and integrating it by parts, we find

$$S = \frac{N}{24\pi l} \left[ \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-\hat{G}} \left\{ \hat{R} - 6(\hat{\nabla}\tilde{\phi})^2 + 4\lambda^2 \right\} + \int_{M_d} d^d x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left( 2\hat{\nabla}_\mu n^\mu + \alpha + 6n^\mu \hat{\nabla}_\mu \tilde{\phi} \right) \right]. \quad (16)$$

Here  $\tilde{\phi} = -\frac{1}{2} \ln f(\phi)$ . Note that we can forget the classical kinetic term of the dilaton (with gravity) when we only consider the anomaly from scalars coupled with dilaton as in (14), for example, in case of large  $N$ . Therefore we can freely redefine the dilaton field, say we can regard  $\tilde{\phi} = -\frac{1}{2} \ln f(\phi)$  with the redefined dilaton field for the arbitrary (positive) dilaton function  $f(\phi)$ . Then with these redefinitions, the resulting anomaly is almost unique although it is still general, up to total derivative terms.

We now consider the case of 4 dimensions. Tedious calculation similar to that in 2 dimensions leads to the term  $S_{\text{ln}}$  proportional to  $\ln \epsilon$  in the action in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{ln}} = & \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_{(0)}} \left[ \frac{1}{2l} g_{(0)}^{ij} g_{(0)}^{kl} \left( g_{(0)ij} g_{(0)kl} - g_{(0)ik} g_{(0)jl} \right) \right. \\ & + \frac{l}{2} \left( R_{(0)}^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} g_{(0)}^{ij} R_{(0)} \right) g_{(1)ij} \\ & - \frac{2}{l} \left( X(\phi_{(0)}) - Y'(\phi_{(0)}) \right) \phi_{(1)} \phi_{(1)} - \frac{l}{2} X'(\phi_{(0)}) \phi_{(1)} g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_i \phi_{(0)} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} \\ & - l X(\phi_{(0)}) g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_i \phi_{(0)} \partial_j \phi_{(1)} + \frac{l}{2} X(\phi_{(0)}) g_{(0)}^{ik} g_{(0)}^{jl} g_{(1)kl} \partial_i \phi_{(0)} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} \\ & - \frac{l}{4} X(\phi_{(0)}) g_{(0)}^{kl} g_{(1)kl} g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_i \phi_{(0)} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} \\ & - \frac{l}{2} Y'(\phi_{(0)}) \phi_{(1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{(0)}}} \partial_i \left( \sqrt{-g_{(0)}} g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} \right) \\ & - \frac{l}{2} Y(\phi_{(0)}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{(0)}}} \partial_i \left( \sqrt{-g_{(0)}} g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_j \phi_{(1)} \right) \\ & \left. - \frac{l}{2} Y(\phi_{(0)}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{(0)}}} \partial_i \left\{ \sqrt{-g_{(0)}} \left( \frac{1}{2} g_{(0)}^{kl} g_{(1)kl} g_{(0)}^{ij} - g_{(0)}^{ik} g_{(0)}^{jl} g_{(1)kl} \right) \partial_j \phi_{(0)} \right\} \right]. \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

Here we choose  $\alpha$  to be  $\alpha = \frac{6}{l}$ . Solving the equations of motion given by the

variation of  $S_{\text{ln}}$  with respect to  $g_{(1)ij}$  and  $\phi_{(1)ij}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= g_{(0)}^{ij} g_{(0)}^{kl} g_{(1)kl} - g_{(0)}^{ik} g_{(0)}^{jl} g_{(1)kl} \\
&\quad + \frac{l^2}{2} \left( R_{(0)}^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} g_{(0)}^{ij} R_{(0)} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{l^2}{2} V(\phi_{(0)}) \left( \frac{1}{2} g_{(0)}^{ij} g_{(0)}^{kl} - g_{(0)}^{ik} g_{(0)}^{jl} \right) \partial_k \phi_{(0)} \partial_l \phi_{(0)} \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{8}{l^2} V(\phi_{(0)}) \phi_{(1)} + V'(\phi_{(0)}) g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_i \phi_{(0)} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{\sqrt{g_{(0)}}} \partial_i \left( V(\phi_{(0)}) \sqrt{g_{(0)}} g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} \right) \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

$g_{(1)ij}$  and  $\phi_{(1)ij}$  can be given in terms of  $g_{(0)ij}$  and  $\phi_{(0)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{(1)ij} &= \frac{l^2}{2} \left( R_{(0)ij} - \frac{1}{6} g_{(0)ij} R_{(0)} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{l^2}{2} V(\phi_{(0)}) \left( \partial_i \phi_{(0)} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} - \frac{1}{6} g_{(0)ij} g_{(0)}^{kl} \partial_k \phi_{(0)} \partial_l \phi_{(0)} \right) \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\phi_{(1)} = \frac{l^2}{8} \left\{ \frac{V'(\phi_{(0)})}{V(\phi_{(0)})} g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_i \phi_{(0)} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} + 2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{(0)}}} \partial_i \left( \sqrt{-g_{(0)}} g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} \right) \right\} \quad (21)$$

Here  $V(\phi_{(0)})$  is defined in (2). Substituting (20) and (21) into (17) and integrating it by parts, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{ln}} &= \frac{l^3}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_{(0)}} \left[ \frac{1}{8} R_{(0)ij} R_{(0)}^{ij} - \frac{1}{24} R_{(0)}^2 \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{V(\phi_{(0)})}{4} R_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_i \phi_{(0)} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} - \frac{V(\phi_{(0)})}{12} R_{(0)} g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_i \phi_{(0)} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{V(\phi_{(0)})^2}{12} + \frac{V'(\phi_{(0)})^2}{32V(\phi_{(0)})} \right) \left( g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_i \phi_{(0)} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{V'(\phi_{(0)})}{8} g_{(0)}^{kl} \partial_k \phi_{(0)} \partial_l \phi_{(0)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{(0)}}} \partial_i \left( \sqrt{-g_{(0)}} g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} \right) \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{V(\phi_{(0)})}{8} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{(0)}}} \partial_i \left( \sqrt{-g_{(0)}} g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_j \phi_{(0)} \right) \right\}^2 \right] . \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the field  $\varphi_{(0)}$  defined by

$$\varphi_{(0)} \equiv \int d\phi_{(0)} \sqrt{2V(\phi_{(0)})} \quad (23)$$

in a similar way to (2), we can rewrite (22) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{ln}} = & \frac{l^3}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_{(0)}} \left[ \frac{1}{8} R_{(0)ij} R_{(0)}^{ij} - \frac{1}{24} R_{(0)}^2 \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2} R_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_i \varphi_{(0)} \partial_j \varphi_{(0)} - \frac{1}{6} R_{(0)} g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_i \varphi_{(0)} \partial_j \varphi_{(0)} \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{(0)}}} \partial_i \left( \sqrt{-g_{(0)}} g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_j \varphi_{(0)} \right) \right\}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \left( g_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_i \varphi_{(0)} \partial_j \varphi_{(0)} \right)^2 \right] \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

The Weyl anomaly coming from the multiplets of  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric  $U(N)$  or  $SU(N)$  Yang-Mills coupled with  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  conformal supergravity was calculated in [7]:<sup>3</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
T = & -\frac{N^2}{4(4\pi)^2} \left[ 2 \left( R_{ij} R^{ij} - \frac{1}{3} R^2 \right) + F^{ij} F_{ij} \right. \\
& \left. + 4 \left\{ 2 \left( R^{ij} - \frac{1}{3} R g^{ij} \right) \partial_i \varphi^* \partial_j \varphi + \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_i \left( \sqrt{-g} g^{ij} \partial_j \varphi \right) \right|^2 \right\} + \dots \right] . \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $F_{ij}$  is the field strength of  $SU(4)$  gauge fields,  $\varphi$  is a complex scalar field which is a combination of dilaton and RR scalar and “...” expresses the terms containing other fields in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  conformal supergravity multiplet and higher powers of the fields.

If we choose

$$\frac{l^3}{16\pi G} = \frac{2N^2}{(4\pi)^2} , \quad (26)$$

and consider the background where only gravity and the real part of the scalar field  $\varphi$  in the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  conformal supergravity multiplet are non-trivial and other fields vanish in (25), Eq.(24) exactly reproduces the result in (25) by using (11).

Hence, we got  $d = 2$  and  $d = 4$  holographic conformal anomaly for dilaton coupled theories from supergravity side. The results of this study give further check of AdS/CFT correspondence in the presence of dilaton. It is also possible to extend this work and to find dilaton dependent conformal anomaly in higher dimensions, like  $d = 6, 8$  etc. However, such calculation is

---

<sup>3</sup>See Eqs.(2.5) and (2.6) in [7].

very complicated as even in case of  $d = 6$  the number of invariants in conformal anomaly is rapidly growing. Nevertheless, using some classification of invariants (see, for example, [17, 16]) may significantly simplify the result. Note that  $d = 6$  conformal anomaly for  $(0, 2)$  theory has been found in [5] very recently on purely gravitational background.

## References

- [1] J.M. Maldacena, hep-th/9711200.
- [2] E. Witten, hep-th/9802150.
- [3] S. Gubser, I. Klebanov and A. Polyakov, hep-th/9802109.
- [4] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, *Phys.Rev.* **D15** (1977) 2752.
- [5] M. Henningson and K. Skenderis, preprint hep-th/9806087.
- [6] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A12** (1997) 2083; *Phys.Rev.* **D57** (1998) 2363.
- [7] H. Liu and A.A. Tseytlin, preprint hep-th/9804083.
- [8] C. Fefferman and C.R. Graham, “Conformal Invariants”, in *Elie Cartan et les Math. d’aujourd’hui*, Asterisque, 1985.
- [9] M. Duff, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **11** (1994) 1387.
- [10] I.L. Buchbinder, S.D. Odintsov and I.L. Shapiro, “Effective Action in Quantum Gravity”, IOP Publishing, Bristol and Philadelphia, 1992.
- [11] J.D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Comm.Math.Phys.* **104** (1986) 207.
- [12] L. Susskind and E. Witten, hep-th/9805114.
- [13] E. Elizalde, S. Naftulin and S.D. Odintsov, *Phys.Rev.* **D49** (1994) 2852.
- [14] R. Bousso and S.W. Hawking, *Phys.Rev* **D56** (1997) 7788; T. Chiba and M. Siino, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A12** (1997) 709; S. Ichinose, *Phys.Rev.* **D57** (1998) 6224; W. Kummer, H. Liebl and D.V. Vassilevich, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A12** (1997) 2683; J.S. Dowker, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **15** (1998) 1881.

- [15] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Phys.Lett.* **B426** (1998) 29.
- [16] S. Ichinose and S.D. Odintsov, hep-th/9802043.
- [17] S. Deser and A. Schwimmer, *Phys.Lett.* **B309** (1993) 279; L. Bonora, P. Pasti and M. Bregola, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **3** (1986) 635; H. Osborn and A. Petcos, *Ann.Phys.* **231** (1994) 311.